

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

OMAR J. TALLEY,

Case No. 3:13-cv-00573-MMD-VPC

Petitioner,

ORDER

v.

BRIAN WILLIAMS, et al.,

Respondents.

The Court ordered respondents to answer or otherwise respond to the petition for a writ of habeas corpus within thirty (30) days from the date of electronic service of the re-filed petition (dkt. no. 13). Respondents have not complied with the Court's order within the allotted time.

Petitioner has submitted an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* (dkt. no. 14). The application is moot because the Court already has granted petitioner leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. (Dkt. no. 3.)

Petitioner has submitted another motion for appointment of counsel (dkt. no. 15). Petitioner has presented no reason why the Court should depart from its denial of his earlier motion for appointment of counsel. (Dkt. no. 3.)

It is therefore ordered that respondents shall have ten (10) days from the date that this order is entered to comply with the Court's order (dkt. no. 11) or to show cause in writing why such compliance is impossible.

It is further ordered that petitioner's application to proceed *in forma pauperis* (dkt. no. 14) is denied as moot.

1 It is further ordered that petitioner's motion for appointment of counsel (dkt. no.  
2 15) is denied.

3 DATED THIS 5<sup>th</sup> day of November 2014.

4  
5 

6 MIRANDA M. DU  
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28